

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.		
10/533,337	03/30/2006	Changyou Zhou	21056P	9308		
210 MERCK AND	7590 09/25/2007 CO INC	•	EXAM	EXAMINER		
P O BOX 2000	•		BALASUBRAMANIAN	N, VENKATARAMAN		
KAHWAY, N.	0 / 065-090 /		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER		
			1624			
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE		
			09/25/2007	PAPER		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

		Application No.	Annlinent(n)				
		Application No.	Applicant(s)				
Office Action Summary		10/533,337	ZHOU ET AL.				
	omoo Addon Gammary	Examiner	Art Unit				
		/Venkataraman Balasubramanian/	1624				
Period fo	- The MAILING DATE of this communication r Reply	appears on the cover sheet with	the correspondence address				
WHIC - Exten after \$ - If NO - Failure Any re	PRTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR RI HEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILIN sions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CF SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory pro- te to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by seply received by the Office later than three months after the rid patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	G DATE OF THIS COMMUNIC R 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a rep n. eriod will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONT tatute, cause the application to become ABA	ATION. ly be timely filed HS from the mailing date of this communication. NDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status							
1) 🛛	Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>(</u>	02 May 2006.					
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		This action is non-final.					
•	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Dispositio	on of Claims						
4)🖂	Claim(s) <u>1-26</u> is/are pending in the applica	tion.					
4	a) Of the above claim(s) is/are with	drawn from consideration.	•				
5)	Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
6)	6) Claim(s) is/are rejected.						
·	7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.						
8)⊠	Claim(s) <u>1-26</u> are subject to restriction and	l/or election requirement.					
Application	on Papers						
9)[] 7	The specification is objected to by the Exar	miner.					
10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ accepted or b)☐ objected to by the Examiner.							
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).							
	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the co						
11)[1	The oath or declaration is objected to by th	e Examiner. Note the attached	Office Action or form PTO-152.				
Priority u	nder 35 U.S.C. § 119						
a)[Acknowledgment is made of a claim for for All b) Some * c) None of:		19(a)-(d) or (f).				
	 Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. 						
	 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage 						
•	application from the International Bu	•	Joseph and Transman Stage				
* S	ee the attached detailed Office action for a		eceived.				
Attachment	(s)						
1) Notice	of References Cited (PTO-892)		mmary (PTO-413)				
2) Notice	of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Paper No(s)	Mail Date crmal Patent Application				
	nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) No(s)/Mail Date	6) Other:					

Application/Control Number: 10/533,337

Art Unit: 1624

DETAILED ACTION

Claims 1-26 are pending.

Election/Restrictions

Restriction is required under 35 U.S.C. 121 and 372.

This application contains the following inventions or groups of inventions which are not so linked as to form a single general inventive concept under PCT Rule 13.1.

In accordance with 37 CFR 1.499, applicant is required, in reply to this action, to elect a single invention to which the claims must be restricted.

Group I, claims 1-26, drawn to compound of formula I wherein Z=C, composition and method of use.

Group II, claims 1-3 and 6-26, drawn to compound of formula I wherein Z=N, composition and method of use.

Group I, claims 1-3 and 6-26, drawn to compound of formula I wherein Z=O, composition and method of use.

The inventions listed as Groups I, II and III do not relate to a single general inventive concept under PCT Rule 13.1 because, under PCT Rule 13.2, they lack the same or corresponding special technical features for the following reasons:

Where there is lack of unity the requirement for restriction is proper- See MPEP 803.02. The requirement for unity of invention is two-fold: (1) common utility and (2) sharing a substantial structural feature disclosed as being essential to the utility. Both these conditions are to be met with. Instant claims do not meet both these conditions.

Application/Control Number: 10/533,337

Art Unit: 1624

Invention I, II and III are independent and distinct from each other because they are directed to structurally dissimilar compounds that lack common core, namely, various heterocyclic groups such as piperidine versus piperazine versus morpholine cores based on Z choices. Consequently, the groups require separate prior art searches. They can be made and used independently. Art which may render obvious or anticipate one of the groups would not necessarily do the same for the other group. For example prior art cited in the International Search Report and the Information Disclosure Statement may not be applicable to all the above groups. Each can support a patent as the compounds of each group are capable of being utilized alone not in combination with other members listed in the Markush group.

Except for the cyclopentane group, every ring and substituents in the core of compound of formula I is varied and it cannot be said that the cyclopentane group essentially contributes to the utility recited in the claims. Thus, the common structural feature essential for the said utility is not met with.

In addition, common utility requirement is also not met with as evident from the claims that these compounds can be used for various diseases mediated by chemokine including all inflammatory and immunoregulatory disorders in general and rheumatoid arthritis. In addition, prior art cited in the Information Disclosure Statement and International search report clearly state other uses for the instant compounds. The more than one use negates the common utility requirement. Thus, both the criteria set forth for unity of invention is not met with.

Application/Control Number: 10/533,337

Art Unit: 1624

In view of distinct nature of each invention, the restriction requirement is set forth in writing.

Restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper because all these inventions listed in this action are independent or distinct for the reasons given above and there would be a serious search and examination burden if restriction were not required because one or more of the following reasons apply:

- (a) the inventions have acquired a separate status in the art in view of their different classification;
- (b) the inventions have acquired a separate status in the art due to their recognized divergent subject matter;
- (c) the inventions require a different field of search (for example, searching different classes/subclasses or electronic resources, or employing different search queries);
- (d) the prior art applicable to one invention would not likely be applicable to another invention:
- (e) the inventions are likely to raise different non-prior art issues under 35 U.S.C. 101 and/or 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph.

Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include (i) an election of a invention to be examined even though the requirement may be traversed (37 CFR 1.143) and (ii) identification of the claims encompassing the elected invention.

The election of an invention may be made with or without traverse. To reserve a right to petition, the election must be made with traverse. If the reply does not distinctly and specifically point out supposed errors in the restriction requirement, the election shall be treated as an election without traverse. Traversal must be presented at the time of election in order to be considered timely. Failure to timely traverse the requirement will result in the loss of right to petition under 37 CFR 1.144. If claims are added after the election, applicant must indicate which of these claims are readable on the elected invention.

If claims are added after the election, applicant must indicate which of these claims are readable upon the elected invention.

Should applicant traverse on the ground that the inventions are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the inventions to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) of the other invention. Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include (i) an election of a species or invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37 CFR 1.143) and (ii) identification of the claims encompassing the elected invention.

Applicant is reminded that upon the cancellation of claims to a non-elected invention, the inventorship must be amended in compliance with 37 CFR 1.48(b) if one or more of the currently named inventors is no longer an inventor of at least one claim

Application/Control Number: 10/533,337 Page 6

Art Unit: 1624

remaining in the application. Any amendment of inventorship must be accompanied by

a request under 37 CFR 1.48(b) and by the fee required under 37 CFR 1.17(i).

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication from the examiner should be

addressed to Venkataraman Balasubramanian (Bala) whose telephone number is (571)

272-0662. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday through Thursday from

8.00 AM to 6.00 PM. The Supervisory Patent Examiner (SPE) of the art unit 1624 is

James O. Wilson, whose telephone number is (571) 272-0661. The fax phone number

for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned (571) 273-8300.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding

should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (571) 272-1600.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the

Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published

applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAG. Status

information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For

more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you

have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business

Center (EBC) at 866-2 17-9197 (toll-free).

Venkotaraman Balusubannanian

9/19/2007